PriMera Scientific Surgical Research and Practice Volume 6 Issue 3 September 2025 DOI: 10.56831/PSSRP-06-211

ISSN: 2836-0028



Ultrasound or Fluoroscopy Guidance for Interventional Treatment of Back Pain

Type: Editorial

Received: September 15, 2025 **Published:** October 02, 2025

Citation:

Vakhtang Shoshiashvili. "Ultrasound or Fluoroscopy Guidance for Interventional Treatment of Back Pain". PriMera Scientific Surgical Research and Practice 6.3 (2025): 01-02.

Copyright:

© 2025 Vakhtang Shoshiashvili. This is an open-access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Vakhtang Shoshiashvili*

MD, PhD, Assistant Professor, Department of Anaesthesia, Intensive Care and Toxicology, Tbilisi State Medical University, Tbilisi, Georgia

*Corresponding Author: Vakhtang Shoshiashvili, MD, PhD, Assistant Professor, Department of Anaesthesia, Intensive Care and Toxicology, Tbilisi State Medical University, Tbilisi, Georgia.

Keywords: Back pain; spinal interventions; ultrasound; fluoroscopy

Back pain is one of the most common medical and social problems worldwide. In 2021 low back pain globally affected to 628.8 million people [8]. Treatment of this condition included exercises, behavioral treatment, physiotherapy, psychological and social support, manual therapy, acupuncture, medications, interventional pain management and surgery. For the treatment of this conditions, initially conservative modalities are using but in cases when they are failing, pain interventions and surgeries are indicated.

There are different types of pain interventions: Injections, nerve blocks and ablation, nerve stimulation (spinal cord, peripheral), intrathecal pain pumps. Traditionally, most of these procedures are performing under the fluoroscopy guidance. This allows proper needle placement based on good bone visualization. On the other-hand fluoroscopy guided procedures are related to radiation exposure, which might be harmful for both patients and medical personnel despite of radiation protection measures. Ultrasound guidance is not related to any adverse effects and can be used fir spinal interventions but is questionable: is it a affordable alternative of C-arm or not? According to Nisolle at all ultrasound guidance was not inferior to fluoroscopy for lumbar medial branch block [1]. Similar results received by Award et al for cervical facet radiofrequency ablation. Moreover, ultrasound guidance significantly reduced the procedural time [2]. Mansour et al. found that ultrasound guidance is safe and effective for in selective lumbar nerve root injection for treatment of radicular pain [3]. Viderman D et al analysed randomized control trials and had been shown, that ultrasound and fluoroscopy guided procedures are equally effective for sacroiliac joint, transforaminal, interlaminar and caudal epidural steroid injections [4]. Zhao et al. found that ultrasound guidance is not inferior to fluoroscopy for intradiscal procedures [5]. Recently Zheng et al had been shown that ultrasound guidance is safe and effective for endoscopic lumbar discectomy surgery [6]. Finally, analyzing all pros and cons of ultrasound guidance for pain medicine Moon is concluded that is practically no cons and technical progress will make ultrasound guidance more popular in pain medicine [7].

In conclusion we can say that ultrasound guidance makes visible not only bones and procedural needle, but all structures including muscles, tendons and blood vessels. It makes ultrasound a safe and affordable tool for practically all spine procedures and practical decision: ultrasound or fluoroscopy mostly is depending under local preferences and skills of practitioner.

References

- 1. Nisolle ML., et al. "Comparison of the effectiveness of ultrasound-guided versus fluoroscopy-guided medial lumbar bundle branch block on pain related to lumbar facet joints: a multicenter randomized controlled non-inferiority study". BMC Anesthesiol 23.1 (2023): 76.
- 2. Awad., et al. "Ultrasound-Guided Ablation of the Cervical Facets". 31.3 (2016): 189-194.
- 3. Mansour, et al. "Comparison of Ultrasound and fluoroscopy guidance in selective lumbar nerve root injection for treatment of radicular pain". MJMR 34.2 (2023): 156-166.
- 4. Viderman D., et al. "Ultrasound-Guided vs. Fluoroscopy-Guided Interventions for Back Pain Management: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials". Diagnostics (Basel) 13.22 (2023): 3474.
- 5. Zhao., et al. "Noninferiority of ultrasound-guided lumbar disc block versus fluoroscopy-controlled lumbar discography for diagnosis of discogenic low back pain". Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther 57.1 (2025): 148-156.
- 6. Zheng., et al. "Efficacy and safety of ultrasound-guided compared to x-ray-guided percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy in China: a systematic review and pooled analysis". Front. Surg. Orthopedic Surgery 12 (2025).
- 7. Jee Youn Moon. "The pros and cons of ultrasound-guided procedures in pain medicine". Korean J Pain 37.3 (2024): 201-210.
- 8. Yang N., et al. "Global burden of low back pain from 1990 to 2021: a comprehensive analysis of risk factors and trends using the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021". BMC Public Health 25 (2025): 1886.