

Why Showing Symbols to indicate A.I. use should/can and must be Globally Compulsory

Type: Conceptual Paper

Received: December 10, 2025

Published: January 07, 2026

Citation:

Louis ZG Touyz., et al. "Why Showing Symbols to indicate A.I. use should/can and must be Globally Compulsory". PriMera Scientific Medicine and Public Health 8.1 (2026): 39-41.

Copyright:

© 2026 Louis ZG Touyz., et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Louis ZG Touyz* and Montreal PQ

Faculty of Dentistry, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

***Corresponding Author:** Louis ZG Touyz, Faculty of Dentistry, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence; Internet; Journalism

Abbreviations

A.I. = Artificial Intelligence.

Provenance

Abuse of Artificial Intelligence (A.I.) has been exploited by those who place making a financial profit as preferable to verified content. Some paid-for media reports rescript the gist of other authors' original input. Consequently, there is a slow-but-sure diminishing reserve of original contributions in words or pictures. News becomes bulimic reporting; old stories are brought up as new news. News or photographs can be doctored to seem real and made to appeal to readers. Focused energy, perseverance, to record honestly and report accurately to the welfare and mental health of a community, will relegate money-grubbing sensationalizing news sources to the trash-can of history. This concern has gone viral globally and is echoed by most responsible news agencies.

Aim

The purpose of this article is to suggest why the display of symbols to indicate A.I. use should/can and must be universally compulsory.

To warn or alert the reader that A.I. has been used, proposed here is a simple solution thus:

#####@##@##@##@##@##@##

Use of these symbols above can reflect A.I. use. This shown before and after editorial or a photograph clearly sensitizes the viewer of A.I. use, and to resort to personal discretionary judgement for credibility.

Discussion

There is a strong hunger for authentic, reliable, honest, and original reports by qualified people, for trustworthy narratives, dependable scripting, and verified information. Accurate, authentic direct observation of newsworthy events metamorphoses into verified news, but with A.I. intrusion, that news becomes slanted and so inaccurate as to finally be incredulous. For academic articles, the demanding,

laborious fact checking is essential to yield informed content with referenced refereed material with human insights, without resorting to A.I.

A.I. is slowly diluting personal discretionary judgements and the ability to differentiate among what's true-fact and what is fiction on the internet devices' computer screens.

A.I. should not be abused to produce spurious and fake data to support an A.I. generated notion. It is desirable for A.I. as a modern sophisticated instrument, to allow faster processing, selection of published facts, logical polemics, with reasonable accuracy of reliable facts. But it should not slowly eliminate attitudes, knowledge and human skills, by reducing thoroughness, fact-checking, original thought, or seeking truth.

Access and use of the treasure trove of verified sources and knowledge should be dedicated to full use and consuming of A.I. with other developing search engine technologies for the benefit, uplifting, progress and good of Mankind. A.I. if abused and misused will dilute and diminish ability to recognize the truth.

Challenges will arise on how to secure consensual validation to enforce this symbolic protocol. The use of symbols will rest with honest users who seek quality and truth over sensation, and stating the author affirms the A.I. input of concepts.

Original ideas synthesized from A.I. should be acknowledged by the person who uncovers the idea, rather than claiming to discover it. Acknowledging A.I. was consulted for seeding should be in the references, and that the author refined and tweaked the content. should be a routine disclosure.

Just repeating A.I. responses and synthesis which does not withstand balanced judgement-calls and common-sense is deemed and referred to as 'A.I. slop'.

Concluding remarks

Use of the symbols below reflects A.I. use.

#####@##@##@##@##@##@##

These shown before and after any scripted material or a photograph will clearly sensitize and alert the viewer of A.I. use, and to exercise personal discretionary judgement for credibility. This will partially exonerate an author, editor or publisher, but because they enjoy the right to 'free speech,' they are still accountable and must take responsibility for their actions. Yet.... people will believe what they wish to believe.....and reap rewards accordingly. A.I. should/can and preferably must be used for truth.

Conclusion

If A.I. generates suspect material for deception, distortion or warping News....the showing of these symbols

#####@##@##@##@##@##@##

to indicate A.I. use should/can and must be universally compulsory.

In the long run, authors, artists and creative people who embrace the use of A.I.- indicating symbols will develop a reputation for intellectual integrity, establish reliability and will restore the power of credibility to their statements.

References

1. Touyz LZG. "The Nine Branched Candelabrum". A General Approach to Avoid Moral Turpitude and Spurious Social Behavior". EC Neurology 10.11 (2018): 1017-1019.
2. Touyz LZG. "The constructive use and destructive abuse on the internet: verbiage and garbage on the social media". EC Neurology

12.12 (2020): 20-22.